



# Downtown Improvements Program

105 N. Main Street

**\$50,000.00**

Property Address

Requested Funding Amount

Cost Estimate #1 \$135,563; Roserock

Cost Estimate #2 \$150,336; Bluestone

## Application Review

- 1) The application meets the minimum submittal requirements. *Section II-A.*
- 2) The property is eligible to receive funding. *Section I-E.*
- 3) The proposed improvements are eligible to receive funding. *Section I-D.*
- 4) The request exceeds a combined total of \$1,000 per linear foot of façade street frontage. *Section I-J.*

Yes	No	Both
X		
X		
X		
X		

*\*Note\* If "No" is checked on any or all for questions 1-3 above, the application is not eligible to be considered for grant funding.*

## Additional Consideration Criteria *Section II-C*

- 1) Property is on the National Register of Historic Places.
- 2) Located within the Downtown Historic District, or other City preservation overlay or district.
- 3) Improvements propose to remove historically insensitive additions and/or maintain existing features.
- 4) Improvements attempt to reuse existing, historic materials instead of complete replacement with new materials.
- 5) Property has previously received DIP funding.

Yes	No	Both
	X	
X		
X		
		X
	X	

## Recommendation

**\$10,000**

**Recommended funding amount for application**

**20%**

**Percentage of original requested amount**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Director of Strategic Projects

\_\_\_\_\_  
Historic Preservation Officer

\_\_\_\_\_  
City Engineer

\_\_\_\_\_  
HLC Appointee

\_\_\_\_\_  
TIRZ 21 Board Appointee

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

*Additional comments regarding the application and reasoning behind the recommended funding are on the opposite side of this sheet.*

## Comments

---

Below are comments from the Downtown Improvements Grant Review Panel regarding the proposed improvements and the justification for the recommended amount of funding. City Council makes the final decision regarding the amount of funds granted.

---

### Application Review

The applicant's submittal was complete and met the requirements of the grant.

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Drawings/Plan Details

The conceptual drawings submitted communicated clearly the intent of the proposal. Further detail needed to ensure appropriate colors, window design and materials etc.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Additional Consideration

- The property is located within the Downtown Historic District.
  - The property has not previously received DIP or other City funding.
  - The building is in a block of buildings that are the oldest structures in Bryan and thereby significant if for no other reason.
  - Renovation of the building is necessary to mitigate damage to adjacent historic structures.
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 

---

### Other Comments

The applicant's proposed project represents a much needed improvement to an endangered building that is one of the oldest in Bryan. The review committee would have liked to recommend a higher level of funding but the number of competing proposals and the limited availability of funds created conditions that made it impossible to offer greater assistance during this grant cycle.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



# Downtown Improvements Program

112 S Main

**\$6,587**

Property Address

Requested Funding Amount

Cost Estimate #1 \$ 10,855

Cost Estimate #2 \$ 13,175

## Application Review

- 1) The application meets the minimum submittal requirements. *Section II-A.*
- 2) The property is eligible to receive funding. *Section I-E.*
- 3) The proposed improvements are eligible to receive funding. *Section I-D.*
- 4) The request exceeds a combined total of \$1,000 per linear foot of façade street frontage. *Section I-J.*

Yes	No	Both
X		
X		
X		
	X	

## Additional Consideration Criteria *Section II-C*

- 1) Property is on the National Register of Historic Places.
- 2) Located within the Downtown Historic District, or other City preservation overlay or district.
- 3) Improvements propose to remove historically insensitive additions and/or maintain existing features.
- 4) Improvements attempt to reuse existing, historic materials instead of complete replacement with new materials.
- 5) Property has previously received DIP funding.

Yes	No	Both
	X	
X		
	X	
	X	
	X	

## Recommendation

**\$ 3,800**

Recommended funding amount for application

**57.6%**

Percentage of original requested amount

\_\_\_\_\_  
Director of Strategic Projects

\_\_\_\_\_  
Historic Preservation Officer

\_\_\_\_\_  
City Engineer

\_\_\_\_\_  
HLC Appointee

\_\_\_\_\_  
TIRZ 21 Board Appointee

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

*Additional comments regarding the application and reasoning behind the recommended funding are on the opposite side of this sheet.*

## Comments

---

Below are comments from the Downtown Improvements Grant Review Panel regarding the proposed improvements and the justification for the recommended amount of funding. City Council makes the final decision regarding the amount of funds granted.

---

### Application Review

The applicant's submittal was complete and met the requirements of the grant.

---

---

---

---

---

### Drawings/Plan Details

The conceptual drawings submitted communicated clearly the intent of the proposal. Further detail needed to ensure appropriate colors, window design and materials etc.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Additional Consideration

- The property is located within the Downtown Historic District.
  - The property has not previously received DIP or other City funding.
  - The building is in a block of buildings that have been or are being renovated to a high standard, the committee felt that the project would return dividends via contributing to the redeveloping streetscape.
- 
- 
- 
- 

---

### Other Comments

The applicant's proposed project although modest given its location merits additional consideration. The review committee would have liked to recommend a higher level of funding but the number of competing proposals and the limited availability of funds created conditions that made it impossible to offer greater assistance during this grant cycle.

---

---

---

---



# Downtown Improvements Program

Property Address: **121 N. Main St** Requested Funding Amount: **\$40,500.00**

Cost Estimate #1 \$81,000.00—Schuler

Cost Estimate #2 \$82,190.00— Williams

## Application Review

- 1) The application meets the minimum submittal requirements. *Section II-A.*
- 2) The property is eligible to receive funding. *Section I-E.*
- 3) The proposed improvements are eligible to receive funding. *Section I-D.*
- 4) The request exceeds a combined total of \$1,000 per linear foot of façade street frontage. *Section I-J.*

Yes	No	Both
X		
X		
X		
	X	

*\*Note\* If "No" is checked on any or all for questions 1-3 above, the application is not eligible to be considered for grant funding.*

## Additional Consideration Criteria *Section II-C*

- 1) Property is on the National Register of Historic Places.
- 2) Located within the Downtown Historic District, or other City preservation overlay or district.
- 3) Improvements propose to remove historically insensitive additions and/or maintain existing features.
- 4) Improvements attempt to reuse existing, historic materials instead of complete replacement with new materials.
- 5) Property has previously received DIP funding.

Yes	No	Both
	X	
X		
	X	
	X	
	X	

## Recommendation

**\$15,000\*** (\*contingent upon HLC approval of plans compliant with the zoning ordinance)

**37%**

**Recommended funding amount for application**

**Percentage of original requested amount**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Director of Strategic Projects

\_\_\_\_\_  
Historic Preservation Officer

\_\_\_\_\_  
City Engineer

\_\_\_\_\_  
HLC Appointee

\_\_\_\_\_  
TIRZ 21 Board Appointee

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

*Additional comments regarding the application and reasoning behind the recommended funding are on the opposite side of this sheet.*

## Comments

---

Below are comments from the Downtown Improvements Grant Review Panel regarding the proposed improvements and the justification for the recommended amount of funding. City Council makes the final decision regarding the amount of funds granted.

---

### Application Review

The applicant did not provide drawings/plans detailing the proposed improvements but the committee felt that since there was essentially no proposal to change the appearance of the building, the lack of drawings would not disqualify the application.

---

The applicant states in the narrative that some of the repairs within the funding request have already been made. The program rules state that project proposals must be reviewed and approved before rehabilitation

---

### Drawings/Plan Details

None submitted. Since the proposal does not include changes to the existing appearance of the façade, the lack of plan drawings would not disqualify the application.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Additional Consideration:

- The property is located within the Downtown Historic District.
  - The property has not previously received DIP or other City funding.
  - The structure occupies a significant anchor-location within the Downtown Historic District
  - The building is in a block of buildings that are the oldest structures in Bryan and thereby significant if for no other reason.
- 
- 
- 

### Other Comments

The committee recognizes that 121 North Main Street occupies a significant anchor-location within the Downtown Historic District. It is the feeling of the committee that given its prominent location it would be a reasonable use of public funds to partner in the improvement of the façade of the building.

---

The committee recommends that if the applicant were to submit a plan for consideration by the Historic Landmark Commission that was compliant with the Downtown Design Guidelines and the Downtown Master-plan, that \$15,000 should be set aside for assistance of such a project.

---

---



# Downtown Improvements Program

Property Address: **200 W. 26th Street**

Requested Funding Amount: **\$9,143.00**

Cost Estimate #1 \$18,252.00 Eric Buckholtz

Cost Estimate #2 \$14,875.00 Lowe's & Juarez

## Application Review

- 1) The application meets the minimum submittal requirements. *Section II-A.*
- 2) The property is eligible to receive funding. *Section I-E.*
- 3) The proposed improvements are eligible to receive funding. *Section I-D.*
- 4) The request exceeds a combined total of \$1,000 per linear foot of façade street frontage. *Section I-J.*

Yes	No	Both
X		
X		
X		
	X	

*\*Note\* If "No" is checked on any or all for questions 1-3 above, the application is not eligible to be considered for grant funding.*

## Additional Consideration Criteria *Section II-C*

- 1) Property is on the National Register of Historic Places.
- 2) Located within the Downtown Historic District, or other City preservation overlay or district.
- 3) Improvements propose to remove historically insensitive additions and/or maintain existing features.
- 4) Improvements attempt to reuse existing, historic materials instead of complete replacement with new materials.
- 5) Property has previously received DIP funding.

Yes	No	Both
	X	
X		
X		
	X	
X		

## Recommendation

**\$2,100**

**Recommended funding amount for application**

**23%**

**Percentage of original requested amount**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Director of Strategic Projects

\_\_\_\_\_  
Historic Preservation Officer

\_\_\_\_\_  
City Engineer

\_\_\_\_\_  
HLC Appointee

\_\_\_\_\_  
TIRZ 21 Board Appointee

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

*Additional comments regarding the application and reasoning behind the recommended funding are on the opposite side of this sheet.*

## Comments

---

Below are comments from the Downtown Improvements Grant Review Panel regarding the proposed improvements and the justification for the recommended amount of funding. City Council makes the final decision regarding the amount of funds granted.

---

### Application Review

The applicant's submittal was substantially complete and met the requirements of the grant.

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Drawings/Plan Details

The drawings and photographs submitted communicated the intent of the proposal; however further detail needed to ensure appropriate colors, window and door design and materials etc.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Additional Consideration

- The property is located within the Downtown Historic District.
  - The property previously received \$14,000 in DIP funding in 2008.
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 

---

### Other Comments

The committee felt that the intent of the proposal merits consideration and partnering in the improvement of the façade of the building would be a reasonable use of public funds . However; the committee recommends that if the applicant were to submit a plan for consideration by the Historic Landmark Commission that was compliant with the Downtown Design Guidelines and the Downtown Masterplan, that \$2,100 should be set aside for assistance of such a project.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



# Downtown Improvements Program

Property Address: 201 S. Main Street

Requested Funding Amount: \$11,662.00

Cost Estimate #1 \$25,200.00—Quinn Williams

Cost Estimate #2 \$23,324.00—Various Contractors

## Application Review

- 1) The application meets the minimum submittal requirements. *Section II-A.*
- 2) The property is eligible to receive funding. *Section I-E.*
- 3) The proposed improvements are eligible to receive funding. *Section I-D.*
- 4) The request exceeds a combined total of \$1,000 per linear foot of façade street frontage. *Section I-J.*

Yes	No	Both
	X	
X		
X		
	X	

*\*Note\* If "No" is checked on any or all for questions 1-3 above, the application is not eligible to be considered for grant funding.*

## Additional Consideration Criteria *Section II-C*

- 1) Property is on the National Register of Historic Places.
- 2) Located within the Downtown Historic District, or other City preservation overlay or district.
- 3) Improvements propose to remove historically insensitive additions and/or maintain existing features.
- 4) Improvements attempt to reuse existing, historic materials instead of complete replacement with new materials.
- 5) Property has previously received DIP funding.

Yes	No	Both
	X	
X		
	X	
	X	
X		

**Recommendation: Since the projects proposed are already complete, the application is disqualified. No funding is recommended.**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Director of Strategic Projects

\_\_\_\_\_  
City Engineer

\_\_\_\_\_  
TIRZ 21 Board Appointee

\_\_\_\_\_  
Historic Preservation Officer

\_\_\_\_\_  
HLC Appointee

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

*Additional comments regarding the application and reasoning behind the recommended funding are on the opposite side of this sheet.*





# Downtown Improvements Program

Property Address: 201 S. Parker—Temple Freda

Requested Funding Amount: \$25,000.00

Cost Estimate #1 \$210,000.00 Mid-Continent

Cost Estimate #2 \$190,000.00—RSI

## Application Review

- 1) The application meets the minimum submittal requirements. *Section II-A.*
- 2) The property is eligible to receive funding. *Section I-E.*
- 3) The proposed improvements are eligible to receive funding. *Section I-D.*
- 4) The request exceeds a combined total of \$1,000 per linear foot of façade street frontage. *Section I-J.*

Yes	No	Both
X		
X		
X		
	X	

*\*Note\* If "No" is checked on any or all for questions 1-3 above, the application is not eligible to be considered for grant funding.*

## Additional Consideration Criteria *Section II-C*

- 1) Property is on the National Register of Historic Places.
- 2) Located within the Downtown Historic District, or other City preservation overlay or district.
- 3) Improvements propose to remove historically insensitive additions and/or maintain existing features.
- 4) Improvements attempt to reuse existing, historic materials instead of complete replacement with new materials.
- 5) Property has previously received DIP funding.

Yes	No	Both
X		
X		
X		
X		
	X	

## Recommendation:

**\$21, 250.**

**Recommended funding amount for application**

**85%**

**Percentage of original requested amount**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Director of Strategic Projects

\_\_\_\_\_  
Historic Preservation Officer

\_\_\_\_\_  
City Engineer

\_\_\_\_\_  
HLC Appointee

\_\_\_\_\_  
TIRZ 21 Board Appointee

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

*Additional comments regarding the application and reasoning behind the recommended funding are on the opposite side of this sheet.*





# Downtown Improvements Program

Property Address: **212 N. Bryan**

Requested Funding Amount: **\$50,000.00**

Cost Estimate #1 **\$153,564.00—Grant Caffey**

Cost Estimate #2 **\$154,369—Bluestone**

## Application Review

- 1) The application meets the minimum submittal requirements. *Section II-A.*
- 2) The property is eligible to receive funding. *Section I-E.*
- 3) The proposed improvements are eligible to receive funding. *Section I-D.*
- 4) The request exceeds a combined total of \$1,000 per linear foot of façade street frontage. *Section I-J.*

Yes	No	Both
<b>X</b>		
<b>X</b>		
<b>X</b>		
	<b>X</b>	

*\*Note\* If "No" is checked on any or all for questions 1-3 above, the application is not eligible to be considered for grant funding.*

## Additional Consideration Criteria *Section II-C*

- 1) Property is on the National Register of Historic Places.
- 2) Located within the Downtown Historic District, or other City preservation overlay or district.
- 3) Improvements propose to remove historically insensitive additions and/or maintain existing features.
- 4) Improvements attempt to reuse existing, historic materials instead of complete replacement with new materials.
- 5) Property has previously received DIP funding.

Yes	No	Both
	<b>X</b>	
<b>X</b>		
		<b>X</b>
		<b>X</b>
<b>X</b>		

## Recommendation

**\$17,814**

**Recommended funding amount for application**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Director of Strategic Projects

\_\_\_\_\_  
City Engineer

\_\_\_\_\_  
TIRZ 21 Board Appointee

**36%**

**Percentage of original requested amount**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Historic Preservation Officer

\_\_\_\_\_  
HLC Appointee

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

*Additional comments regarding the application and reasoning behind the recommended funding are on the opposite side of this sheet.*

## Comments

---

Below are comments from the Downtown Improvements Grant Review Panel regarding the proposed improvements and the justification for the recommended amount of funding. City Council makes the final decision regarding the amount of funds granted.

---

### Application Review

The applicant's submittal was complete and met the requirements of the grant.

---

Although the applicant stated that the property had not previously received funding through the City of Bryan, in 2003 previous owners received \$44,825 from the Downtown Improvement Program.

---

---

---

---

### Drawings/Plan Details

The plan drawings submitted communicated clearly the intent of the proposal. Further discussion needed to ensure appropriate colors, window design and materials etc.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Additional Consideration

~~The property is located within the Downtown Historic District.~~

---

The property has previously received DIP or other City funding. (\$44,825)

---

Renovation of the building is necessary to mitigate damage to adjacent structures.

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Other Comments

The property is currently an empty shell of a building. The applicant proposed to use the existing façade and repair the masonry of that facade, but all other features will be removed and replaced.

---

The committee found that the proposed major renovation of 212 North Bryan Avenue represents a much needed improvement to an endangered building. The review committee would have liked to recommend a higher level of funding but the number of competing proposals and the limited availability of funds created conditions that made it impossible to offer greater assistance during this grant cycle.

---

---



# Downtown Improvements Program

Property Address: **301 N. Main**

Requested Funding Amount: **\$36,000.00**

Cost Estimate #1 **\$72,768.38—Keys & Walsh**

Cost Estimate #2 **\$79,807.11—Grant**

## Application Review

- 1) The application meets the minimum submittal requirements. *Section II-A.*
- 2) The property is eligible to receive funding. *Section I-E.*
- 3) The proposed improvements are eligible to receive funding. *Section I-D.*
- 4) The request exceeds a combined total of \$1,000 per linear foot of façade street frontage. *Section I-J.*

Yes	No	Both
X		
X		
X		
	X	

*\*Note\* If "No" is checked on any or all for questions 1-3 above, the application is not eligible to be considered for grant funding.*

## Additional Consideration Criteria *Section II-C*

- 1) Property is on the National Register of Historic Places.
- 2) Located within the Downtown Historic District, or other City preservation overlay or district.
- 3) Improvements propose to remove historically insensitive additions and/or maintain existing features.
- 4) Improvements attempt to reuse existing, historic materials instead of complete replacement with new materials.
- 5) Property has previously received DIP funding.

Yes	No	Both
	X	
	X	
		X
X		
	X	

## Recommendation

**\$12,000**

**33%**

**Recommended funding amount for application**

**Percentage of original requested amount**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Director of Strategic Projects

\_\_\_\_\_  
Historic Preservation Officer

\_\_\_\_\_  
City Engineer

\_\_\_\_\_  
HLC Appointee

\_\_\_\_\_  
TIRZ 21 Board Appointee

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

*Additional comments regarding the application and reasoning behind the recommended funding are on the opposite side of this sheet.*

## Comments

---

Below are comments from the Downtown Improvements Grant Review Panel regarding the proposed improvements and the justification for the recommended amount of funding. City Council makes the final decision regarding the amount of funds granted.

---

### Application Review

The plan drawings submitted communicated clearly the intent of the proposal. Further discussion needed to ensure appropriate colors, window design and materials etc.

---

---

---

---

### Drawings/Plan Details

The plan drawings submitted communicated clearly the intent of the proposal. Further discussion needed to ensure appropriate colors, finishes, window design and materials etc.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Additional Consideration

The property has not previously received DIP or other City funding.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Other Comments

The committee found that the proposed major renovation of 301 North Main Street represents a much needed improvement to a significant mid-century building. The review committee would have liked to recommend a higher level of funding but the number of competing proposals and the limited availability of funds created conditions that made it impossible to offer greater assistance during this grant cycle.

---

---

---



# Downtown Improvements Program

Property Address: 307 S. Main Street

Requested Funding Amount: **\$10,520.00**

Cost Estimate #1 **\$26,500.00—Quinn Williams**

Cost Estimate #2 **\$21,039.67—Various**

## Application Review

- 1) The application meets the minimum submittal requirements. *Section II-A.*
- 2) The property is eligible to receive funding. *Section I-E.*
- 3) The proposed improvements are eligible to receive funding. *Section I-D.*
- 4) The request exceeds a combined total of \$1,000 per linear foot of façade street frontage. *Section I-J.*

Yes	No	Both
	X	
X		
X		
	X	

*\*Note\* If "No" is checked on any or all for questions 1-3 above, the application is not eligible to be considered for grant funding.*

## Additional Consideration Criteria *Section II-C*

- 1) Property is on the National Register of Historic Places.
- 2) Located within the Downtown Historic District, or other City preservation overlay or district.
- 3) Improvements propose to remove historically insensitive additions and/or maintain existing features.
- 4) Improvements attempt to reuse existing, historic materials instead of complete replacement with new materials.
- 5) Property has previously received DIP funding.

Yes	No	Both
	X	
	X	
	X	
	X	
X		

**Recommendation: Since the projects proposed are already complete, the application is disqualified. No funding is recommended.**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Director of Strategic Projects

\_\_\_\_\_  
Historic Preservation Officer

\_\_\_\_\_  
City Engineer

\_\_\_\_\_  
HLC Appointee

\_\_\_\_\_  
TIRZ 21 Board Appointee

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

*Additional comments regarding the application and reasoning behind the recommended funding are on the opposite side of this sheet.*





# Downtown Improvements Program

Property Address: **308 N. Bryan Ave**

Requested Funding Amount: **\$44,915.00**

Cost Estimate #1 **\$93,969.00—Dudley Construction**

Cost Estimate #2 **\$81,668.00—JaCody, Inc.**

## Application Review

- 1) The application meets the minimum submittal requirements. *Section II-A.*
- 2) The property is eligible to receive funding. *Section I-E.*
- 3) The proposed improvements are eligible to receive funding. *Section I-D.*
- 4) The request exceeds a combined total of \$1,000 per linear foot of façade street frontage. *Section I-J.*

Yes	No	Both
<b>X</b>		
<b>X</b>		
<b>X</b>		
	<b>X</b>	

*\*Note\* If "No" is checked on any or all for questions 1-3 above, the application is not eligible to be considered for grant funding.*

## Additional Consideration Criteria *Section II-C*

- 1) Property is on the National Register of Historic Places.
- 2) Located within the Downtown Historic District, or other City preservation overlay or district.
- 3) Improvements propose to remove historically insensitive additions and/or maintain existing features.
- 4) Improvements attempt to reuse existing, historic materials instead of complete replacement with new materials.
- 5) Property has previously received DIP funding.

Yes	No	Both
<b>X</b>		
	<b>X</b>	
<b>X</b>		
<b>X</b>		
	<b>X</b>	

## Recommendation

**\$15,000**

**Recommended funding amount for application**

**33%**

**Percentage of original requested amount**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Director of Strategic Projects

\_\_\_\_\_  
Historic Preservation Officer

\_\_\_\_\_  
City Engineer

\_\_\_\_\_  
HLC Appointee

\_\_\_\_\_  
TIRZ 21 Board Appointee

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

*Additional comments regarding the application and reasoning behind the recommended funding are on the opposite side of this sheet.*





# Downtown Improvements Program

Property Address: **509 S. Bryan Ave**

Requested Funding Amount: **\$1,717.09**

Cost Estimate #1 **\$2,986.04—Lowest 2**

Cost Estimate #2 **\$3,434.18—Highest 2**

## Application Review

- 1) The application meets the minimum submittal requirements. *Section II-A.*
- 2) The property is eligible to receive funding. *Section I-E.*
- 3) The proposed improvements are eligible to receive funding. *Section I-D.*
- 4) The request exceeds a combined total of \$1,000 per linear foot of façade street frontage. *Section I-J.*

Yes	No	Both
<b>X</b>		
<b>X</b>		
<b>X</b>		
	<b>X</b>	

*\*Note\* If "No" is checked on any or all for questions 1-3 above, the application is not eligible to be considered for grant funding.*

## Additional Consideration Criteria *Section II-C*

- 1) Property is on the National Register of Historic Places.
- 2) Located within the Downtown Historic District, or other City preservation overlay or district.
- 3) Improvements propose to remove historically insensitive additions and/or maintain existing features.
- 4) Improvements attempt to reuse existing, historic materials instead of complete replacement with new materials.
- 5) Property has previously received DIP funding.

Yes	No	Both
	<b>X</b>	

## Recommendation

**\$1,493.**

**100%**

**Recommended funding amount for application**

**Percentage of original requested amount**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Director of Strategic Projects

\_\_\_\_\_  
Historic Preservation Officer

\_\_\_\_\_  
City Engineer

\_\_\_\_\_  
HLC Appointee

\_\_\_\_\_  
TIRZ 21 Board Appointee

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

*Additional comments regarding the application and reasoning behind the recommended funding are on the opposite side of this sheet.*

## Comments

---

Below are comments from the Downtown Improvements Grant Review Panel regarding the proposed improvements and the justification for the recommended amount of funding. City Council makes the final decision regarding the amount of funds granted.

---

### Application Review

The application was substantially complete with the exception that it appears that there are two estimates for each component of the project. The numbers on this form reflect them being organized in highest and lowest categories.

---

---

---

---

### Drawings/Plan Details

None submitted. Since the proposal does not include changes to the existing appearance of the façade, the lack of plan drawings would not disqualify the application.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Additional Consideration

The property has not previously received DIP funding.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Other Comments

Three reasons were cited by the committee for the recommendation that the applicant be awarded 100% of the funds requested.

- The building is very large and lies along Bryan Avenue entry corridor to downtown;
  - The broken-window effect was cited as a reason that funding should be considered; and,
  - The request was very modest and would make a positive impact for very little expense.
- 
- 
-